

College Area Community Planning Board (CACPB) and College Area Community Council (CACC)
Minutes from the Regular Meetings: March 10, 2021 at 7:00 pm
Held via Zoom Conference Call

P	Jose Reynoso	President	p	Robert Higdon
P	Jim Jennings	Vice President	Resign	Tom Hilanto
P	Ann Cottrell	Secretary	A(A1)	Chris Luna
A(A2)	David Cook	Treasurer	P	Robert Montana
P	Rachel Gregg	SDSU Appointee	P(A2)	Ja'Mar Montgomery
L(A3)	Armando Sepulveda	SDSU AS Appointee	P	Troy Murphree
P(A1)	Jim Schneider	BID Representative	P(A2)	B.J. Nystrom
p	Saul Amerling		P	Jerry Pollock
P	Ellen Bevier		P	Tom Silva
P(A1)	Andrew Gade		P	Eva Yakutis

TOTAL BOARD MEMBERS: 20

P= present L= Late A – Absent (1),(2),(3) = 1st, 2nd 3rd absence

CP 600-24, Art. IV, Sec 1: “A vacancy exists upon the 3rd consecutive absence or 4th absence in 12 months (April May)

M/S/C = Moved/Seconded/Carried

The College Area Community Council (CACC) and the College Area Community Planning Board (CACPB) are two separate entities with a common board and officers and joint meetings. The items highlighted below with asterisks are CACPB business items, subject to City Council Policy 600-24 governing community planning groups. Items are reported in agenda outline order, although some items may have been considered in a different sequence.

COLLEGE AREA COMMUNITY COUNCIL MEETING

I. Call to Order: 7:00 p.m.

II. Approval of Agenda

Move approval: Cottrell S: Amerling

Y: 16 N:0 A:0 *Carried

III. Approval of Minutes of February 9, 2021

Move approval: Amerling, S: Pollock

Y: 15 N:0 A:1 (Nystrom absent) *Carried

IV. Public Comments on non-agenda items within CACC Jurisdiction

A. Montana: Zoom information needs to be included on emails announcing meetings.

B. Michelle White: Shared concerns about oversized rental properties at 6480 & 6482 Montezuma Rd. With additions and ADU there will be 18 bedrooms on a single lot. 20 or more residents on one lot pose dangers (fires, traffic) seriously strain infrastructure & police. Another 2 story ADU is proposed for 6460 Montezuma, already a 6 bedroom house. I'd like to prevent that from being built in addition to the above.

Murphree: can this issue be on the agenda next month?

V. Law enforcement, elected officials, business district, SDSU

A. *Steffen, SDPD*. February statistics: Crimes: 2 violent, 19 property, 18 moving violations, 17 arrests
5 first response notices, 23 houses are CAPPED

B. *Link, SDSUPD*. Reminder to be aware of Covid 19 scams.

C. *Vance, for Congresswoman Jacobs*: Reported legislation she supported in Congress.

D. *Rosas, for Council member Elo-Rivera*:

- Mayor's proposed budget will be presented mid-April. We seek your input for our response.
- Land Use & Housing will have an information meeting on ADUs soon.
- Council's climate equity fund provides money for projects in targeted areas, including College.

E. *Estrada, for Mayor Gloria*: Reported on general city initiatives (none are College Area specific)

VI. New Business

A. *Discussion of relationship & coordination of CACPB, CACC, CA Community Coalition*:

Reynoso. you all received by-laws, including Coalition's as background

1. Lengthy discussion revolved around the following issues:

a. Separating CACPB & CACC into 2 separate organizations meeting on different days.

- Meetings would be shorter & more focused. They have very different mandates.
- Some felt this would encourage greater community attendance, others are not convinced. Community survey to reveal community preferences is needed.

• Separate boards would make it harder to get board members; it is hard enough with 1 board.

• Some discussion about meeting times for 2 separate boards.

b. Merging Community Council (Current CACC) & Community Coalition (501(c)3 entity established to hold funds to support CACC activities & projects.)

- This should not proceed without legal advice for a complex merger;
- If this is done CACC would become a 501(c)3 organization. Some questioned if such an organizations & boards have this status, others asked if CACC would be prohibited from urging city action on community issues. Many community organizations are non-profit. They cannot raise funds for lobbying or lobby the city, but are not prohibited from discussing their concerns with the city.

c. A committee has been formed to explore legal & by-laws concerns for both these issues.

The committee, including tonight's volunteers, is: Montana (convener), Jenkins, Nystrom, Schneider, Silva, Yakutis.

2. Motions

a. Move to form subcommittee to establish a study of separating CACC & CACPB

Montana: S: Schneider

Y: 17 N:0 A:0 *Carried

b. Move that this group will also study the possibility & advisability of combining the Community council & the Community Coalition: Montana S: Schneider

Y: 17 N:0 A:0 *Carried

VII. Treasurer's December Report: *sent by Cook*

Expenses: none Deposits: \$94.12 Balance: \$24,333.

VII. Committee Reports None

IX. Delegate Reports

A. *CARPUS: Hoeger*

- No meeting, meetings are now quarterly.
- Elo Rivera's office contacted Steffen about the process to get the C squad reinstated. SDPD & Council both want a C squad serving College Area on weekends as done formerly

X. Adjournment: 8:00 p.m.

COLLEGE AREA COMMUNITY PLANNING BOARD MEETING

Call to Order: 8:00 p.m.

I. Approval of Agenda

Y: 17 N:0 A:0 *Carried

II. Approval of February 9, 2021 Minutes

Move approval: Schneider S: Nystrom

Y: 16 N:0 A:1 (Nystrom absent) *Carried

III. Public Comments on Non-Agenda Items in Jurisdiction of CACPB None

IV. New Business

A. Susan Baldwin: Presentation by Parks & Recreation Coalition (PARC), responding to the draft Parks Master Plan & Recreation element continued by the city council. PARC requests support to mayor & city council for improvements to PMP & direction for staff to work with CPGs, RAGs and PARC for input.

1. PARC asks CAPB support for recommended improvements, with a letter to Mayor & City Council, & requesting city work with community and recreation groups.

2. Main Points of concern with Park Master Plan (which city council did not approve in November)

a. Document lacks community input, expert advice ignored.

b. Plan eliminates the goals of walking distance parks, & standards of park acreage/population; greater population needs more park space.

c. Plan evaluates Parks using points which focus on amenities, not passive recreation, It pits park amenities against park land.

d. Needs to use funds to increase park land, with increased density. It does not adequately address park inequities.

e. It commercializes public parks & ignores historic resources.

3. Move to support improvements to the Parks Master Plan and Recreation Element recommended by PARC: Jennings S: Montana

Y: 17 N:0 A:0 *Carried

Move to send a letter of support to Mayor & City Council: Jennings S: Montana

Y: 17 N:0 A:0 *Carried

V. Committee Reports

A. Community Plan Update Committee: Montana|

Met to discuss existing conditions, mobility study. Next meeting March 31 will look at zoning & how implemented through urban design.

VI. Delegate Reports

A. Community Planners Committee: Reynoso

Public Power San Diego presented an alternative to SDGE for consideration, no action was taken.

VII. Chair's Report: Reynoso

A. This is my last meeting as I will have to leave the board due to medical Issue. I will not disappear, but will no longer be on the board. We will need to fill the board position. Jennings will fill the chair position.

B. Silva: Can we put ADU issues on the agenda next month?

VIII. Adjournment: 8:35

Minutes by Ann Cottrell, Secretary.